S

SINGLE BENCH (3)

CF-1001—

IN THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4105 OF 2006

PETITIONER

Parshu Ram Rai Son of Shri Ka ilash Rai, aged about 58 years, 580, Head Constable, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Central Railway, Bilaspur (C.G.). ~~H~~ Presently Posted at RPF Thana Rajgarh

P.R. No. 4180/06
Presented by Shri ID Patel
dated 21/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India, Through: The Secretary, Department of Railway New Delhi.

2. The Chief Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Central Railway, Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. The Divisional Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Central Railway, Bilaspur (C.G.).

4. The Assistant Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Central Railway, Bilaspur (C.G.).

R/C by
RKS
02-08-06

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 173/99
30/08/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950, FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, ETC. AND FOR OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1107 **सन्** 200 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10-08-2006

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Amit Choudhary, Standing Counsel with Ms. Yoshita Masih, Advocate for the respondents, on advance copy.

Heard.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner remained under suspension with effect from 27-11-2000 to 21-11-2003. The enquiry was conducted against the petitioner in which the penalty of reduction in rank for a period of 6 months was imposed. However, no order was passed regarding the salary to be paid to the petitioner during this suspension period, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the salary during suspension period

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the petitioner has not made any representation regarding his grievances to the respondents, otherwise respondents could have decided his representation and passed appropriate order.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to make a representation to the respondent No.4 within a period of 2 weeks from today and in turn, respondent No.4 shall decide the representation of the petitioner after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of the representation, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

P.T.O.

Barve

18.8.06